UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

WILLIAM NESBIT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT L. FORNARO, et al.,

Defendant.

On March 31, 2011, the Honorable George W. Foley, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge entered Findings and Recommendations (Doc. #20) recommending that Defendants' Motion to Stay (Doc. #6) be granted and that Plaintiff's Emergency Counter Motion (Doc. #12) be denied.

On April 11, 2011, Plaintiff filed a motion titled "Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of the Recommendation and Finding Dated March 31, 2011." (Doc. #23). Although, Plaintiff's filing is styled as a "Motion for Reconsideration," the Court deems it appropriate to treat Plaintiff's filing as Plaintiff's objections in accord with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the proceedings before the Magistrate Judge, as well as, Defendants' Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion (Doc. #32) and Plaintiff's Reply (Doc.'s #45 & #46). Based upon the *de novo review*, the Court finds that the recommendations of the Magistrate Judge should be approved and Plaintiff's objections thereto overruled.

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Findings and
2	Recommendations of the Honorable George W. Foley, Jr., United States Magistrate
3	Judge (Doc. #20) entered March 31, 2011 are hereby AFFIRMED , and Plaintiff's
4	objections thereto (Doc. #23) are OVERRULED .
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Stay (Doc. #6)
6	is GRANTED and Plaintiff's Counter Motion (Doc. #12) is DENIED .
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties to this action shall file a
8	Joint Status Report advising this Court of the progress in the <i>Leonelli</i> action on or
9	before November 10, 2011 , and at six month intervals thereafter until there is a final
LO	resolution of the Leonelli action.
L1	
L2	DATED: May 12, 2011.
L3	Q_a Q_a
L4	PHILIP M. PRO
L5	United States District Judge
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	